Over the years, our industry has relied heavily on reducing the center of glass (COG) U-factor as a way of driving down overall fenestration U-factors (thermal transmittance). Strategies have included increasing the performance of low-emissivity (low-e) coatings, using multiple coatings, addition of inert gases, and more recently, moving to triple-pane units. However, the COG U-factor makes up only part of a window’s performance. To achieve the lowest fenestration thermal transmittance and highest condensation resistance, it is necessary to look more broadly at the window as a system, including the edges: The frame and edge of glass (EOG).
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To achieve the lowest fenestration thermal transmittance and highest condensation resistance, it is necessary to look more broadly at the window as a system, including the edges: The frame and edge of glass (EOG).

Focusing on preventing heat flow through the COG only, rather than considering the window as a whole, is the thermal equivalent of damming a river at its center, yet forgetting to block water flow all the way to its banks. In the case of the river, the water just flows around the edges of the dam and its flow is not stopped. Likewise, in the case of the window, the energy still flows through it, even if the COG conductance is low. It does so by taking the path of least resistance around the edges of the window—through the frame and EOG.

Focusing on preventing heat flow through the COG only, rather than considering the window as a whole, is the thermal equivalent of damming a river at its center, yet forgetting to block water flow all the way to its banks.
The graph (at right) illustrates how the window U-factor varies with the COG, frame and EOG (spacer) performance, and demonstrates how the specifications of the edges of a window control the overall U-factor performance.

In a poor-performing, non-thermally broken frame with an aluminum insulating glass (IG) spacer, changing the COG performance from 0.29 to 0.24 btu/oF.hr.ft² (equivalent to adding argon to a double-pane low-e IG unit and changing the coating from a double silver to a triple silver) provides only a 6 percent reduction in window U-factor. Yet, without making any change to the COG performance, the change to a high-performance thermally broken frame with a warm-edge IG spacer reduces the overall window U-factor by 36 percent. The implication is that when specifying a fenestration system, the first focus should be on improving the performance of the frame (biggest influence) and EOG, before specifying the COG package.

Having a high-performance edge is an enabler for achieving a high-performance window system, and it can provide greater flexibility in glass choice because the highest COG U-factor may not be needed. A top-performing frame can achieve the same performance with a dual-pane glazing than a poorer performing frame with triple-pane glazing. For example, a non-thermally broken window wall must use a triple-pane krypton-filled IG unit with two low-e coatings (COG U-factor = 0.14 btu/°F.hr.ft²) to reach a fenestration U-factor of 0.33 btu/°F.hr.ft², whereas a simply thermally broken system need only use a dual-pane IG unit with one low-e coating (COG = 0.24 btu/°F.hr.ft²) [1].

Because condensation resistance also is driven by thermal bridging (or lack thereof) at the fenestration edge, not the center, improving frame and EOG thermal performance first has a dual benefit of increasing performance on this dimension, too. For the example above, the condensation resistance of the non-thermally broken window wall is only 40 compared to 53 for the thermally broken system,

---

[1] Data for window wall based on the National Fenestration Rating Council (NFRC) database.